arXiv:0707.0316v1 [math.PR] 2 Jul 2007

Occupation time fluctuations of Poisson and equilibrium branching systems in critical and large dimensions

Piotr Miłoś
Institute of Mathematics
Polish Academy of Sciences
Warsaw

February 1, 2008

Abstract

Limit theorems are presented for the rescaled occupation time fluctuation process of a critical finite variance branching particle system in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with symmetric α-stable motion starting off from either a standard Poisson random field or the equilibrium distribution for critical $d = 2\alpha$ and large $d > 2\alpha$ dimensions. The limit processes are generalised Wiener processes. The obtained convergence is in space-time, finite-dimensional distributions sense. With the addtional assumption on the branching law we obtain functional convergence.

AMS subject classification: primary 60F17, 60G20, secondary 60G15

Key words: Functional central limit theorem; Occupation time fluctuations; Branching particles systems; generalised Wiener process; equilibrium distribution.

1 Introduction

The basic object of our investigation is a branching particle system. It consists of particles evolving independently in $\mathbb{R}^d$ according to a spherically symmetric α-stable LÃĺvy process (called standard α-stable process), $0 < \alpha \leq 2$. The system starts off at time 0 from a random point measure M. The lifetime of a particle is an exponential random variable with parameter V. After that time the particle splits according to the law determined by a generating function F. We always assume that the branching is critical, i.e., $F'(0) = 1$. Each of the new-born particles undertake the α-stable movement independently of the others, and so on. The evolution of the system is described by (and in fact can be identified with) the empirical (measure-valued) process N, where $N_t(A)$

denotes the number of particles in the set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ at time t. We define the rescaled occupation time fluctuation process by

$$X_T(t) = \frac{1}{F_T} \int_0^{Tt} (N_s - \mathbb{E}N_s)\, ds,\ t \geq 0, \tag{1.1}$$

where T is a scaling parameter which accelerates time ($T \to +\infty$) and F_T is a proper deterministic norming. X_T is a signed-measure-valued process but it is convenient to regard it as a process in the tempered distributions space $S'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The objectives are to find suitable F_T such that X_T converges in law as $T \to +\infty$ to a non-trivial limit and to identify this limit. This problem, or its modifications (e.g. its superprocess or discrete versions) has been studied in several papers ([10], [3], [12], the list is not complete). Typically, the initial configuration M was a Poisson measure, in most cases a homogeneous one, i.e. with the intensity measure λ=Lebesgue measure, and the branching law was either binary or of a special form, belonging to the domain of attraction of a $(1+\beta)$ stable distribution ($0 < \beta \leq 1$). We consider a general branching law with finite variance and the initial measure M is either Poisson homogeneous or is the equilibrium measure of the system. In what follows, we will use superscripts $Poiss$ (e.g., N^{Poiss}) or eq (e.g., X_T^{eq}) to indicate which model we are dealing with.

It is known [11] that an equilibrium measure M^{eq} of our branching system exists provided that $d > \alpha$. In [12] the case of intermediate dimensions $\alpha < d < 2\alpha$ was considered. It was shown that the limits (in the sense of the convergence in law in $C([0,\tau], S'(\mathbb{R}^d)), \tau > 0$ of X_T^{Poiss} and X_T^{eq} are different; they have the form $K\lambda\xi$, where K is a constant and ξ is a real Gaussian process which in the Poisson case is a sub-fractional Brownian motion, while in the equilibrium case it is a fractional Brownian motion (see [5] for the definition and properties of the sub-fractional motion).

In the present paper we consider the case of critical ($d = 2\alpha$) and large ($d > 2\alpha$) dimensions. It turns out that now the limits of X^{Poiss} and X^{eq} coincide, for $d = 2\alpha$ the limit is $K\lambda\beta$ where β is the standard Brownian motion and if $d > 2\alpha$ then the limit is an $S'(\mathbb{R}^d)$-valued Wiener process. Moreover, these limits are, up to a constant, the same as those obtained in [7] for the Poisson system with binary branching. The method of the proof is similar to that employed in [7], based on the so-called space-time approach, but in the present case some new technical difficulties appear, especially in the study of the system in equilibrium.

2 Results

As mentioned in Introduction our basic state space is the space $S'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of tempered distributions, dual to the space $S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of smooth rapidly decreasing functions. Duality in the appropriate spaces is denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Three kinds of convergence are used. Firstly the convergence of finite-dimensional distributions, denoted by $\Rightarrow_f$. For a continuous, $S'(\mathbb{R}^d)$-valued process $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$

and any $\tau > 0$ one can define an $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$-valued random variable

$$\left\langle \tilde{X}, \Phi \right\rangle = \int_0^\tau \langle X_s, \Phi(\cdot, s) \rangle \, ds, \ \Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1}) \tag{2.1}$$

If for any $\tau > 0$ $\tilde{X}_n \to \tilde{X}$ in distribution then we say that the convergence in the space-time sense holds and denote this fact by $\Rightarrow_i$. Finally, we consider the functional weak convergence denoted by $X_n \Rightarrow_c X$. It holds if for any $\tau > 0$ processes $X_n = (X_n(t))_{t\in[0,\tau]}$ converge to $X = (X(t))_{t\in[0,\tau]}$ weakly in $C([0,\tau], \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d))$. It is known that $\Rightarrow_i$ and $\Rightarrow_f$ do not imply each other, but either of them together with tightness implies $\Rightarrow_c$ ([4]). Conversely, $\Rightarrow_c$ implies both $\Rightarrow_i$, $\Rightarrow_f$. Consider a branching particle system described in Introduction. Denote (recall that F is the generating function of the branching law)

$$m = F''(1) \tag{2.2}$$

We start with the large dimension case.

Theorem 2.1. *Assume $d > 2\alpha$ and let $F_T = T^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Assume that the initial configuration of the system is given either by a Poisson homogeneous measure or by the equilibrium measure and let X_T be defined by (1.1), i.e. $X_T = X_T^{Poiss}$ or $X_T = X_T^{eq}$. Then*

1. *$X_T \Rightarrow_f X$ and $X_T \Rightarrow_i X$ as $T \to +\infty$*
   where X is a centered $\mathcal{S}'$-valued, Gaussian process with the covariance function

   $$Cov\left(\langle X_s, \varphi_1\rangle, \langle X_t, \varphi_2\rangle\right) = (s \wedge t)\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\left(\frac{2}{|z|^\alpha} + \frac{Vm}{2|z|^{2\alpha}}\right)\widehat{\varphi_1}(z)\overline{\widehat{\varphi_2}(z)}dz$$

   where $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$.
2. *If, additionally, the branching law has finite the fourth moment then*

   $$X_T \Rightarrow_c X \text{ as } T \to +\infty$$

For the critical dimension we have the following theorem

Theorem 2.2. *Assume $d = 2\alpha$ and let $F_T = (T \log T)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Assume that the initial configuration of the system is given either by a Poisson homogeneous measure or by the equilibrium measure and let X_T be defined by (1.1), i.e. $X_T = X_T^{Poiss}$ or $X_T = X_T^{eq}$. Then*

1. *$X_T \Rightarrow_f X$ and $X_T \Rightarrow_i X$ as $T \to +\infty$*
   where

   $$X = \left(\frac{mV}{2}\right)^{1/2} C_d \lambda \beta$$

   $$C_d = \left(2^{d-2}\pi^{d/2} d\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)\right)^{-1/2}$$

   and β is a standard Brownian motion.

2. If, additionally, the branching law has finite the fourth moment then

$$X_T \Rightarrow_c X \text{ as } T \to +\infty$$

Remark 2.3. (a) It is unclear if the assumption of the existence of the fourth moment is necessary for the functional convergence in both theorems to hold. One can see that only the second moment influences the result. In the proof below the assumption is only used in the proof of tightness of family X_T. (see also Remark 3.3).

(b) The limit process X in Theorem 2.1 is an $S'(\mathbb{R}^d)$-valued homogeneous Wiener process.

3 Proofs

3.1 General Scheme

3.1.1 Space-time convergence

We present a general scheme which will be used in the proofs of both theorems. It is similar to the one employed in [12] and [7]. Many parts of the proofs are the same for N^{Poiss}-system starting from a Poisson field and N^{eq}-system starting from the equilibrium distribution hence we will omit superscripts when a formula holds for both of them. Let X_T be the occupation time fluctuation process defined by (1.1). Firstly we establish the convergence in the space-time sense. Let us consider $\tilde{X}_T$ defined according to (2.1). We show the convergence of the Laplace transforms

$$\lim_{T\to+\infty} \mathbb{E}e^{-\langle \tilde{X}_T, \Phi\rangle} = \mathbb{E}e^{-\langle \tilde{X}, \Phi\rangle},\ \Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1}),\ \Phi \geq 0, \tag{3.1}$$

where X is the corresponding limit process. This will imply the weak convergence of $\tilde{X}_T$ since the limit processes are Gaussian ones (see detailed explanation in [6]). The purpose of the rest of this section is to gather facts used to calculate the Laplace transforms and to show convergence (3.1). To make the proof shorter we will consider Φ of the special form:

$$\Phi(x,t) = \varphi(x)\psi(t)\ \ \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d), \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^+), \varphi \geq 0, \phi \geq 0.$$

We also denote

$$\varphi_T = \frac{1}{F_T}\varphi,\ \chi(t) = \int_t^1 \psi(s)ds,\ \chi_T(t) = \chi(\frac{t}{T}). \tag{3.2}$$

We write

$$\Psi(x,t) = \varphi(x)\chi(t), \tag{3.3}$$

$$\Psi_T(x,t) = \varphi_T(x)\chi_T(t), \tag{3.4}$$

note that Ψ and Ψ_T are positive functions. For a generating function F we define

$$G(s) = F(1-s) - 1 + s. \tag{3.5}$$

We will need following properties of G (we omit proofs which are straightforward)

Fact 3.1. *1. $G(0) = F(1) - 1 = 0$,*

2. $G'(0) = -F'(1) + 1 = 0$

3. $G''(0) = F''(1) < +\infty$,

4. $G(v) = \frac{m}{2}v^2 + g(v)v^2$ where m is defined by (2.2) and $\lim_{v\to 0} g(v) = 0$.

5. $G'''(0) < +\infty$ and $G^{IV}(0) < +\infty$ if the law determined by F has finite the fourth moment

Let us recall classical Young's inequality

$$\|f * g\|_p \leq \|f\|_{q_1} \|g\|_{q_2}, \tag{3.6}$$

which holds when $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2} - 1$, $q_1, q_2 \geq 1$.
Now we introduce an important function used throughout the rest of the paper

$$v_\Psi(x,r,t) = 1 - \mathbb{E}\exp\left\{-\int_0^t \langle N_s^x, \Psi(\cdot, r+s)\rangle\, ds\right\} \tag{3.7}$$

where N_s^x denotes the empirical measure of the particle system with the initial condition $N_0^x = \delta_x$. v_Ψ satisfies the equation

$$v_\Psi(x,r,t) = \int_0^t \mathcal{T}_{t-s}\left[\Psi(\cdot, r+t-s)(1 - v_\Psi(\cdot, r+t-s,s)) - VG(v_\Psi(\cdot, r+t-s,s))\right](x)\, ds. \tag{3.8}$$

The equation can be proved using the Feynman-Kac formula in the same way as [12, Lemma 3.4]. We also define

$$n_\Psi(x,r,t) = \int_0^t \mathcal{T}_{t-s}\Psi(\cdot, r+t-s)(x)\, ds. \tag{3.9}$$

Since we consider only positive Ψ hence (3.7) and (3.8) yield

$$0 \leq v_T(x,r,t) \leq n_T(x,r,t) \tag{3.10}$$

where, for simplicity of notation, we write

$$v_T(x,r,t) = v_{\Psi_T}(x,r,t), \tag{3.11}$$

$$n_T(x,r,t) = n_{\Psi_T}(x,r,t), \tag{3.12}$$

$$v_T(x) = v_T(x,0,T), \tag{3.13}$$

$$n_T(x) = n_T(x,0,T) \tag{3.14}$$

when no confusion can arise.

Fact 3.2. *$n_T(x, T-s, s) \to 0$ uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $s \in [0,T]$ as $T \to +\infty$.*

The proof is the same as [12, Fact 3.7].
We also introduce function V_T. It is defined by

$$V_T(x,l) = 1 - \mathbb{E}exp\left(\langle N_l^x, \ln(1-v_T)\rangle\right) \tag{3.15}$$

and fulfills the equation

$$V_T(x,l) = \mathcal{T}_l v_T(x) - V\int_0^l \mathcal{T}_{l-s} G\left(V_T(\cdot,s)\right)(x)\,ds. \tag{3.16}$$

It satisfies (details can be found in [12, Section 3.2.2])

$$0 \leq V_T(x,l) \leq \mathcal{T}_l v_T(x), \; \forall_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d, l\geq 0}. \tag{3.17}$$

Now we can write the Laplace transforms (see [12, Section 3.1.2, 3.2.2] for calculations)

$$\mathbb{E}e^{-\left\langle \tilde{X}_T^{Poiss}, \Phi\right\rangle} = e^{A(T)} \tag{3.18}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}e^{-\left\langle \tilde{X}_T^{eq}, \Phi\right\rangle} = e^{A(T)+B(T)} \tag{3.19}$$

where

$$A(T) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_0^T \Psi_T(x, T-s)\, v_T(x, T-s, s) + VG\left(v_T(x,T-s,s)\right) ds dx, \tag{3.20}$$

$$B(T) = V\int_0^{+\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G\left(V_T(x,t)\right) dxdt. \tag{3.21}$$

We consider the following decomposition of $A(T)$

$$A(T) = \exp\left\{V\left(I_1(T) + I_2(T)\right) + I_3(T)\right\}, \tag{3.22}$$

where

$$I_1(T) = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{m}{2}\left(\int_0^s \mathcal{T}_u \Psi_T(\cdot, T+u-s)(x)\,du\right)^2 dxds, \tag{3.23}$$

$$I_2(T) = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[G\left(v_T(x,T-s,s)\right) - \frac{m}{2}\left(\int_0^s \mathcal{T}_u \Psi_T(\cdot, T+u-s)(x)\,du\right)^2\right] dxds, \tag{3.24}$$

$$I_3(T) = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Psi_T(x, T-s)\, v_T(x,T-s,s)\, dxds. \tag{3.25}$$

We claim that in the case of large dimensions ($d > 2\alpha$) we have

$$I_1(T) \to \frac{m}{2(2\pi)^d}\int_0^1\int_0^1 (r\wedge r')\psi(r)\psi(r')drdr' \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\widehat{\varphi}(z)|^2}{|z|^{2\alpha}}dz \tag{3.26}$$

$$I_2(T) \to 0 \tag{3.27}$$

$$I_3(T) \to \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\int_0^1\int_0^1 (r\wedge r')\psi(r)\psi(r')drdr' \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\widehat{\varphi}(z)|^2}{|z|^{\alpha}}dz \tag{3.28}$$

Using decomposition (3.22) we obtain the limit of $A(T)$ and consequently the one for the Laplace transform (3.18). This establishes the space-time convergence of the Poisson-starting system X_T^{Poiss} considered in 1) of Theorem 2.1. Analogously, in the critical case ($d = 2\alpha$), we obtain the corresponding convergence in 1) of Theorem 2.2 once we show

$$I_1(T) \to \frac{m}{2} C_d^2 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 (r \wedge r')\psi(r)\psi(r') \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x)dx \right)^2 \tag{3.29}$$

$$I_2(T), I_3(T) \to 0 \tag{3.30}$$

The limits (3.26) - (3.30) will be obtained in Section 3.2 and Section 3.3.
Now we proceed to the case of the equilibrium-starting system X_T^{eq}. In both Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 the limits are the same as in the X_T^{Poiss} case. It follows immediately from (3.19) that that fact will be proved when we show

$$B(T) \to 0$$

Let us first observe an elementary fact that uniform convergence $V_T(\cdot, \cdot) \to 0$ as $T \to +\infty$ holds. It is a direct consequence of Fact 3.2 and the combination of inequalities (3.17) and (3.10). This together with Fact 3.1 yields

$$B(T) \le c \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\mathcal{T}_t n_T(x) \right)^2 dx dt, \tag{3.31}$$

Let us denote the right-hand side of (3.31) by $B_1(T)$. Now we need to obtain

$$\lim_{T \to +\infty} B_1(T) = 0. \tag{3.32}$$

which is again put off to Section 3.2 and Section 3.3.

3.1.2 Finite dimensional convergence

A similar method, based on the Laplace transform, can be applied to prove the finite distributions convergence. Indeed, for a sequence $0 \le t_1 \le t_2 \le \cdots \le t_n \le \tau$ and functions $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \cdots, \varphi_n \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\varphi_i \ge 0$ we write the Laplace transform

$$\mathbb{E}\exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \langle X_T(t_i), \varphi_i \rangle \right). \tag{3.33}$$

The key observation is that, formally,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \langle X_T(t_i), \varphi_i \rangle = \left\langle \tilde{X}_T, \Phi \right\rangle$$

if $\Phi = \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i \delta_{t_i}$ (which coresponds to $\Psi(x, s) = \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i(x) \mathbf{1}_{[0,t_i]}(s)$, recall definition (3.3)).

It appears that the Laplace transforms (3.18), (3.19) and formulae (3.8), (3.16) are still valid for Φ and Ψ. The proof for the Poisson-starting system is simpler version of the one presented below and is left to the reader. We employ an approximation argument. Consider $\Phi_n \to \Phi$ where $\Phi_n \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$, additionally assume that the sequence $(\Phi_n)_n$ is chosen such that $\Psi^n(x,t) = \int_t^1 \Phi^n(x,s)ds$ is nondecreasing $\Psi^n \leq \Psi^{n+1}$. To keep the proof short we adhere to the following notation, symbols with (without) the superscript n will denote functions defined for Φ^n and Ψ^n (resp. Φ and Ψ) (eg. $v^n := v_{\Psi^n}$ given by (3.8)). T is fixed and hence is omitted where possible.

The first assertion is that $V(x,l)$ satisfies equation (3.16). Definition (3.15) implies that $V^n(x,l) \to V(x,l)$ (pointwise) which follows immediately from $v^n \to v$ (left to the reader), inequality $0 \leq v \leq 1$ and the dominated convergence theorem. By assumption $\Phi^n \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ and V^n satisfies the equation. Passing to the limit $n \to +\infty$ and employing the dominated convergence theorem to the right side of the equation completes the proof.

Now we turn to the Laplace transform (3.19). It is obvious that

$$\lim_n \mathbb{E}e^{-\langle \tilde{X}_T^{eq}, \Phi^n \rangle} = \mathbb{E}e^{-\langle \tilde{X}_T^{eq}, \Phi \rangle}.$$

One can see that formula (3.19) for Φ will be justified if only $A^n \to A$ and $B^n \to B$. To show the first one is left to the reader. It is straightforward to check that $\Phi^n \leq \Phi^{n+1}$ implies $V_n \leq V_{n+1}$ and that G is nondecreasing. A standard application of the monotone convergence theorem completes the proof. The finite distributions convergence is thus established. Indeed, the above argumentation allows the calculations from Section 3.1.1 to be repeated for $\Phi = \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i \delta_{t_i}$ which implies the convergence of the Laplace transform (3.33) and consequently the finite dimensional convergence in 1) of Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2.

3.1.3 Functional convergence

In this subsection we present a general scheme of the proof of the functional convergence. The assertion follows immediately from the part 1 of the Theorem 2.1 or Theorem 2.2, respectively, if we prove that $\{X_T, T > 2\}$ is tight in $C([0,1], \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (with no loss of generality we consider $\tau = 1$). Generally, we follow the lines of the proof of tightness in Theorem 2.2 in [7]. However, in our case new technical difficulties arise because of a more general branching law. Some estimates are more cumbersome and some extra terms appear. Moreover, we establish tightness for X_T^{eq} which was not investigated in [7]. This requires even more intricate computations than in the Poisson case.

By the Mitoma theorem [12, Mitoma 1983] it suffices to show tightness of the real processes $\langle X_T, \varphi \rangle$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$. This can be done using a criterion [1, Theorem 12.3]

$$\mathbb{E}(\langle X_T(t), \varphi \rangle, \langle X_T(s), \varphi \rangle)^4 \leq C(t-s)^2. \tag{3.34}$$

Let $(\psi_n)_n$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, denote $\chi_n(u) = \int_u^1 \psi_n(s)ds$. It is an easy exercise to show that the sequence $(\psi_n)_n$ can be chosen in such a way that

$$\begin{aligned} &\psi_n \to \delta_t - \delta_s, \\ &0 \leq \chi_n \leq \mathbf{1}_{[s,t]}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

A detailed construction can be found in [7].
Denote $\Phi_n = \varphi \otimes \psi_n$. We have

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \langle X_T, \Phi_n\rangle = \langle X_T(t), \varphi\rangle - \langle X_T(s), \varphi\rangle$$

thus by Fatou's lemma and the definition of ψ_n we will obtain (3.34) if we prove (C is a constant independent of n and T) that

$$\mathbb{E}\left\langle \tilde{X}_T, \Phi_n \right\rangle^4 \leq C(t-s)^2.$$

From now on we fix an arbitrary n and dentote $\Phi := \Phi_n$ and $\chi := \chi_n$. By properties of the Laplace transform we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left\langle \tilde{X}_T, \Phi \right\rangle^4 = \frac{d^4}{d\theta^4}|_{\theta=0}\mathbb{E}e^{-\theta\left\langle \tilde{X}_T, \Phi\right\rangle}$$

Hence the proof of tightness will be completed if we show

$$\frac{d^4}{d\theta^4}|_{\theta=0}\mathbb{E}e^{-\theta\left\langle \tilde{X}_T, \Phi\right\rangle} \leq C(t-s)^2, \tag{3.36}$$

The rest of the section is devoted to calculate the fourth derivative of the Laplace transforms (3.18) and (3.19).
Here and subsequently $A(\theta, T)$ and $B(\theta, T)$ will denote (3.20) and (3.21) taken for $\Psi_{\theta,T} = \theta\varphi_T \otimes \chi_T$ (φ_T and χ_T are defined by 3.2), i.e.,

$$A\left(\theta, T\right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_0^T \theta\varphi_T(x)\chi_T(T-s)v_{\Psi_{\theta,T}}\left(x, T-s, s\right) + VG\left(v_{\Psi_{\theta,T}}\left(x, T-s, s\right)\right) dsdx,$$

$$B\left(\theta, T\right) = V\int_0^{+\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G\left(V_{\Psi_{\theta,T}}\left(x, t\right)\right) dxdt.$$

Remark 3.3. This is the point where we need the existence of the fourth moment of the branching law. Note that in the case of the binary branching law (model investigated in [7]) the fourth moment is obviously finite. The formulae derived below are consistent, but more complicated that the ones considered in [7]. This makes the computation here significantly longer and, moreover, some new technical difficulties arise especially in case of critical dimensions. New arguments and estimations were required to cope with them.

A trivial verification shows that $A(0,T)=0$, $A'(0,T)=0$, $B(0,T)=0$, $B'(0,T)=0$ hence

$$\frac{d^4}{d\theta^4}e^{A(\theta,T)}|_{\theta=0} = A^{IV}(0,T) + A''(0,T)^2$$

and

$$\frac{d^4}{d\theta^4}e^{A(\theta,T)+B(\theta,T)}|_{\theta=0} = A^{IV}(0,T) + B^{IV}(0,T) + \left(A''(0,T)+B''(0,T)\right)^2 .$$

Now taking into account (3.36), to demonstrate tightness, it suffices to show that

$$A''(0,T) \le C(t-s),\ B''(0,T) \le C(t-s) \tag{3.37}$$

$$A^{IV}(0,T) \le C(t-s)^2,\ B^{IV}(0,T) \le C(t-s)^2 \tag{3.38}$$

It will convenient to denote

$$v(\theta) = v(\theta)(x,T-u,u) = v_{\Psi_{\theta,T}}(x,T-u,u)$$

$$V(\theta) = V(\theta)(x,t) = V_{\Psi_{\theta,T}}(x,T-u,u)$$

$$k = G'''(0),\ l = G^{IV}(0)$$

Using the properties from Fact 3.1.

$$A''(0,T) = 2\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi_T(x)\chi_T(T-u)v'(0)dxdu + Vm\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (v'(0))^2 dxdu$$

$$\begin{aligned}A^{IV}(0,T) = {} & 4\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi_T(x)\chi_T(T-u)v'''(0)dxdu + Vl\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (v'(0))^4 dxdu \\ & + 6Vk\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (v'(0))^2 v''(0)dxdu + 3Vm\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (v''(0))^2 dxdu \\ & + 4Vm\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} v'(0)v'''(0)dxdu\end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$B''(0,T) = Vm\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(V'(0)\right)^2 dsdx$$

$$\begin{aligned}B^{IV}(0,T) = Vl\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(V'(0)\right)^4 dxds + 6Vk\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} V''(0)\left(V'(0)\right)^2 dxds + \\ 3Vm\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(V''(0)\right)^2 dxds + 4Vl\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} V'(0)V'''(0)dxds\end{aligned} \tag{3.39}$$

Derivatives of $v(\theta)$ and $V(\theta)$ at $\theta=0$ are given by

$$v'(0)(x,T-u,u) = \int_0^u \mathcal{T}_{u-s}[\varphi_T(\cdot)\chi_T(T-s)](x)ds \tag{3.40}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
v''(0)(x,T-u,u) = &-2\int_0^u \mathcal{T}_{u-s}[\varphi_T(\cdot)\chi_T(T-s)v'(0)(\cdot,T-s,s)](x)ds\\
&- mV\int_0^u \mathcal{T}_{u-s}[(v'(0)(\cdot,T-s,s))^2](x)ds
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
v'''(0)(x,T-u,u) = &-3\int_0^u \mathcal{T}_{u-s}[\varphi_T(\cdot)\chi_T(T-s)v''(0)(\cdot,T-s,s)](x)ds\\
&- kV\int_0^u \mathcal{T}_{u-s}[(v'(0)(\cdot,T-s,s))^3](x)ds\\
&- 3mV\int_0^u \mathcal{T}_{u-s}[v'(0)(\cdot,T-s,s)v''(0)(\cdot,T-s,s)](x)ds\\
&V'(0)(x,s) = \mathcal{T}_s v'(0)(x,0,T)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
V''(0)(x,s) = \mathcal{T}_s v''(0)(x,0,T) - Vm\int_0^s \mathcal{T}_{t-u}\left((V'(0)(\cdot,u))^2\right)du \tag{3.41}
$$

$$
V^{IV}(0)(x,s) = \mathcal{T}_s v''(0)(x,0,T) - V\int_0^s \mathcal{T}_{t-u}\left(3mV'(0)(\cdot,u)V''(0)(\cdot,u) + k\left(V'''(0)(\cdot,u)\right)^3\right)du
$$

3.2 Proof of Theorem 2.1

We follow the scheme described in Section 3.1.1 for the large dimensions case. I_1 does not depend on F so (3.26) can be obtained in the same way as in [7, (3.15)].

We will turn now to (3.27) which is a little more intricate. Combining (3.24) and decomposition of G from Fact 3.1 we acquire

$$
I_2(T) = \frac{m}{2}I_{21}(T) + I_{22}(T), \tag{3.42}
$$

where

$$
I_{21}(T) = \int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} v_T(x,T-s,s)^2 - \left(\int_0^s \mathcal{T}_u\Psi_T(\cdot,T+u-s)(x)\,du\right)^2 dxds, \tag{3.43}
$$

$$
I_{22}(T) = \int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(v_T(x,T-s,s))\,v_T(x,T-s,s)^2\,dxds \tag{3.44}
$$

We have the following inequalities (proofs are straightforward and can be found [12, Section 3.1.3])

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \le\, &n_T(x,T-s,s) - v_T(x,T-s,s) \le\\
&C\int_0^s \mathcal{T}_{s-u}\left[\Psi_T(\cdot,T-u)\,n_T(\cdot,T-u,u) + n_T(\cdot,T-u,u)^2\right](x)\,du
\end{aligned} \tag{3.45}
$$

$$n_T\left(x,T-s,s\right)+v_T\left(x,T-s,s\right)\leq 2n_T\left(x,T-s,s\right)\leq 2\int_0^s\mathcal{T}_{s-u}\Psi_T\left(\cdot,T-u\right)(x)\,du. \tag{3.46}$$

By (3.43) we have

$$0\leq -I_{21}(T)\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(n_T(x,T-s,s)-v_T(x,T-s,s))(n_T(x,T-s,s)+v_T(x,T-s,s))dsdx$$

We use (3.45) and (3.46) obtaining

$$-I_{21}(T)\leq C(I_{211}(T)+I_{212}(T))$$

where

$$I_{211}(T)=\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\left(\int_0^s\mathcal{T}_{s-u}\left[\Psi_T\left(\cdot,T-u\right)n_T\left(\cdot,T-u,u\right)\right](x)\,du\right)\left(\int_0^s\mathcal{T}_{s-u}\Psi_T\left(\cdot,T-u\right)(x)du\right)dxds,$$

$$I_{212}(T)=\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\left(\int_0^s\mathcal{T}_{s-u}\left[n_T\left(\cdot,T-u,u\right)^2\right](x)\,du\right)\left(\int_0^s\mathcal{T}_{s-u}\Psi_T\left(\cdot,T-u\right)(x)du\right)dxds.$$

One can see that I_{211} and I_{212} coincide with J_1 and J_2 from [7] (see (3.20) and (3.21)) hence by the proof therein

$$\lim_{T\to+\infty}I_{21}(T)=0$$

Next we show that $I_{22}\to 0$. Indeed, applying Facts 3.1 and 3.2 and inequality (3.10) we obtain $\forall_{\epsilon>0}\exists_{T_0}$ such that $\forall_{T>T_0}$

$$0\leq I_{22}(T)\leq\epsilon I_1(T)$$

which clearly implies $I_{22}\to 0$.
Finally we obtain (3.28). $I_3(T))$ can be split in the same way as [7, (3.24)]. The only difference is that

$$I_3'''(T)=\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\varphi_T(x)\chi_T(T-u)\int_0^u\mathcal{T}_{u-s}G(v_{\Psi_T}(\cdot,T-s,s))(x)dsdxdu$$

but $G(v)$ is comparable with v^2 hence the rest of proof goes along the same lines. (Compare to [7, (3.27)]).
Now we turn to the equilibrium case. As observed before, it suffices to prove (3.32). Using the Fourier transforms we get

$$B_1(T)=C\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\frac{1}{|z|^\alpha}\left(\widehat{n}_T\left(z\right)\right)^2dz$$

It is not hard to see that

$$\left|\widehat{n}_T\left(z\right)\right|\leq\frac{CT^{1-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}}{F_T}\frac{\left|\widehat{\varphi}\left(z\right)\right|}{|z|^\beta},\ \beta\in[0,\alpha]$$

Hence we obtain

$$|B_1(T)| \le C\frac{T^{2(1-\frac{\beta}{\alpha})}}{F_T^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\frac{|\widehat{\varphi}(z)|^2}{|z|^\alpha}\frac{1}{|z|^{2\beta}}dz.$$

We take β such that $\frac{1}{2}\alpha < \beta$ but $\alpha + 2\beta < d$ (it can be done because $2\alpha < d$). The first condition gives as

$$\frac{T^{2(1-\frac{\beta}{\alpha})}}{F_T^2} \to 0 \qquad \text{as } T \to +\infty$$

and the second ensures that the integral is finite. This completes the proof of (3.32) and consequently part 1) of Theorem 2.1.
Now we proceed to part 2). Firstly, we follow the scheme from Section 3.1.3. The proof will be completed when we show inequalities (3.37) and (3.38). It can be done by applying the expressions derived in Section 3.1.3 repeatedly. This results in many terms which have to be estimated separately. As an example consider (3.39), take only its third term then substitute $V''(0,T)$ in it utilizing only the second term of (3.41) and finally eliminate $v'(0,T)$ using (3.40). In this way we obtain

$$R = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_0^{+\infty}\left(\int_0^l \mathcal{T}_{l-s_1}\left[\left(\mathcal{T}_{s_1}\left[\int_0^T \mathcal{T}_{T-s_3}\left[\varphi_T(\cdot)\chi_T(T-s_3)\right]ds_3\right)^2\right]\right]ds_1\right)^2 dldx$$

Other terms can be derived analogously. They can be estimated in the similar way as in [7] though some new difficulties arise and the number of terms is substantially bigger. To obtain estimates we need the following inequalities

$$\int_u^1 e^{-T(r-u)|z|^\alpha}\chi(r)dr \le t-s, \quad 0 \le u \le 1, \tag{3.47}$$

$$\int_0^1\int_u^1 e^{-T(r-u)|z|^\alpha}\chi(r)drdu \le \frac{t-s}{T|z|^\alpha}, \tag{3.48}$$

$$\int_0^u e^{-T(u-s)|z|^\alpha}du \le \frac{1}{T|z|^\alpha}\left(1-e^{-T|z|^\alpha}\right), \tag{3.49}$$

They are easily proved using inequality (3.35).
Now, to illustrate techniques required in estimations, we will carry out the proof for the term R which is perhaps the most impressive one.
Firstly, we apply the Fubini theorem multiple times in order to separate the "time part" and the "space part"

$$R = \int_0^{+\infty}\int_0^l\int_0^T\int_0^T\int_0^l\int_0^T\int_0^T \chi_T(T-s_3)\chi_T(T-s_4)\chi_T(T-s_5)\chi_T(T-s_6)S ds_6 ds_5 ds_2 ds_4 ds_3 ds_1 dl$$

where

$$S = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\mathcal{T}_{l-s_1}\left[\mathcal{T}_{s_1}\left[\mathcal{T}_{T-s_3}\left[\varphi_T(\cdot)\right]\right]\mathcal{T}_{s_1}\left[\mathcal{T}_{T-s_4}\left[\varphi_T(\cdot)\right]\right]\right]\mathcal{T}_{l-s_2}\left[\mathcal{T}_{s_2}\left[\mathcal{T}_{T-s_5}\left[\varphi_T(\cdot)\right]\right]\mathcal{T}_{s_2}\left[\mathcal{T}_{T-s_6}\left[\varphi_T(\cdot)\right]\right]\right]dx$$

By applying the Plancharel formula and definition (3.2) we get

$$S = T^{-2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3d}} e^{-(l-s_1)|z|^\alpha} e^{-s_1|z_1|^\alpha} e^{-(T-s_3)|z_1|^\alpha} e^{-s_1|z-z_1|^\alpha} e^{-(T-s_4)|z-z_1|^\alpha} e^{-(l-s_2)|z|^\alpha} e^{-s_2|z_2|^\alpha}$$

$$\times e^{-(T-s_5)|z_2|^\alpha} e^{-s_2|z-z_2|^\alpha} e^{-(T-s_6)|z-z_2|^\alpha} \widehat{\varphi}(z_1)\widehat{\varphi}(z-z_1)\widehat{\varphi}(z_2)\widehat{\varphi}(z-z_2)dz_2dz_1dz$$

The Fubini theorem yields

$$R = T^{-2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3d}} \widehat{\varphi}(z_1)\widehat{\varphi}(z-z_1)\widehat{\varphi}(z_2)\widehat{\varphi}(z-z_2)\int_0^{+\infty}\int_0^l\int_0^T\int_0^T\int_0^l\int_0^T\int_0^T A ds_6ds_5ds_2ds_4ds_3ds_1dldz_2dz_1dz$$

where

$$A = e^{-(l-s_1)|z|^\alpha} e^{-s_1|z_1|^\alpha} e^{-(T-s_3)|z_1|^\alpha} e^{-s_1|z-z_1|^\alpha} e^{-(T-s_4)|z-z_1|^\alpha} e^{-(l-s_2)|z|^\alpha} e^{-s_2|z_2|^\alpha} e^{-(T-s_5)|z_2|^\alpha}$$

$$\times e^{-s_2|z-z_2|^\alpha} e^{-(T-s_6)|z-z_2|^\alpha}\chi_T(T-s_3)\chi_T(T-s_4)\chi_T(T-s_5)\chi_T(T-s_6)$$

Subsequent application of inequalities (3.47), (3.49), (3.47), (3.49) to integrals with respect to s_6, s_5, s_4, s_3 gives

$$R \le (t-s)^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3d}} \widehat{\varphi}(z_1)\widehat{\varphi}(z-z_1)\widehat{\varphi}(z_2)\widehat{\varphi}(z-z_2)\frac{1}{|z_2|^\alpha}\frac{1}{|z_1|^\alpha}S(z,z_1,z_2)dz_2dz_1dz \tag{3.50}$$

where

$$S(z,z_1,z_2) = \int_0^{+\infty}\int_0^l\int_0^l e^{-(l-s_1)|z|^\alpha} e^{-s_1|z_1|^\alpha} e^{-s_1|z-z_1|^\alpha} e^{-(l-s_2)|z|^\alpha} e^{-s_2|z_2|^\alpha} e^{-s_2|z-z_2|^\alpha} ds_2ds_1dl, \tag{3.51}$$

A trivial verification shows that

$$S(z,z_1,z_2) = S_1(z,z_1,z_2) + S_2(z,z_1,z_2),$$

where

$$S_1(z,z_1,z_2) = \frac{1}{2\,|z|^\alpha\,(|z_1|^\alpha + |z-z_1|^\alpha + |z|^\alpha)(|z_1|^\alpha + |z-z_1|^\alpha + |z_2|^\alpha + |z-z_2|^\alpha)}$$

$$S_2(z,z_1,z_2) = \frac{1}{2\,|z|^\alpha\,(|z_2|^\alpha + |z-z_2|^\alpha + |z|^\alpha)(|z_1|^\alpha + |z-z_1|^\alpha + |z_2|^\alpha + |z-z_2|^\alpha)}.$$

Using (3.52) we write the right-hand side of (3.50) as $R_1 + R_2$, where R_1, R_2 have an obvious meaning. It is easy to see that

$$R_1 = (t-s)^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3d}} \frac{\widehat{\varphi}(z_1)\widehat{\varphi}(z-z_1)}{|z_1|^\alpha\,|z-z_1|^{\alpha/2}}\,\frac{\widehat{\varphi}(z_2)\widehat{\varphi}(z-z_2)}{|z_2|^\alpha\,|z-z_2|^\alpha}\,\frac{1}{2|z|^{3/2\alpha}}dz_1dz_2dz$$

Notice that function $f(x) = \frac{\widehat{\varphi}(x)}{|x|^\alpha}$ is square-integrable. The integral with respect to z_2 is is equal to $(f*f)(z)$. By Young's inequality (3.6) it is easy to see that it is bounded (take $q_1 = q_2 = 2$), hence

$$R_1 \le c_1(t-s)^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\frac{h(z)}{2|z|^{3/2\alpha}}dz,$$

where

$$h(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\widehat{\varphi}(z_1)\widehat{\varphi}(z-z_1)}{|z_1|^\alpha \, |z-z_1|^{\alpha/2}} dz_1 = \left(\frac{\widehat{\varphi}(\cdot)}{|\cdot|^\alpha} * \frac{\widehat{\varphi}(\cdot)}{|\cdot|^{\alpha/2}} \right)(z)$$

We may apply Young's inequality (3.6) in two ways. Firstly taking $q_1 = 2/3$ and $q_2 = 3$ proves that h is bounded, secondly taking $q_1 = q_2 = 1$ shows that h is integrable. Hence

$$R_1 \le c_2(t-s)^2.$$

The proof for R_2 goes along the same lines.

3.3 Proof of Theorem 2.2

As the proof for the critical dimensions in the Poisson-starting system case is similar the one in Section 3.2, we present only a sketch of the proof. Once again we follow the scheme described in Section 3.1.1. (3.29) can be obtained in the same way as [7, (3.31)]. To prove the convergence (3.30) of $I_2(T)$ one can follow the proof for the large dimension case and estimate arising terms I_{211} and I_{212} in a manner presented in [7] for J_1 and J_2 in the critical case. Limit for the I_3 is trivial.

Now we turn to the equilibrium case. We need to show (3.32).

$$B_1(T) = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\mathcal{T}_t \int_0^T \mathcal{T}_{T-s_1}\varphi_T(x)\chi_T(T-s_1)ds_1 \right) \left(\mathcal{T}_t \int_0^T \mathcal{T}_{T-s_2}\varphi_T(x)\chi_T(T-s_2)ds_2 \right) dxdt$$

$$= \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^T \int_0^T \chi_T(T-s_1)\chi_T(T-s_2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{T}_{t+T-s_1}\varphi_T(x)\mathcal{T}_{t+T-s_2}\varphi_T(x)dxds_1ds_2dt.$$

By applying the Fourier transform we have

$$B_1(T) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^T \int_0^T \chi_T(T-s_1)\chi_T(T-s_2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{(t+T-s_1)|z|^\alpha} e^{(t+T-s_2)|z|^\alpha} |\widehat{\varphi}_T(z)|^2 dzds_1ds_2dt.$$

Integrating with respect to t yields

$$B_1(T) = c_1 \frac{A_T}{F_T^2}, \tag{3.52}$$

where

$$A_T = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\widehat{\varphi}(z)|^2}{|z|^\alpha} \left(\int_0^T e^{s|z|^\alpha} ds \right)^2 dz.$$

Derivative of A_T with respect to T is given by

$$A_T' = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\widehat{\varphi}(z)|^2}{|z|^\alpha} e^{-T|z|^\alpha} \frac{1}{|z|^\alpha} \left(1 - e^{-T|z|^\alpha} \right) dz.$$

In the critical case $\alpha = \frac{d}{2}$ so substituting $T^{\frac{2}{d}} z = z'$ we obtain

$$A_T' = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\widehat{\varphi}(z'/T^{\frac{2}{d}})|^2}{|z'|^\alpha} e^{-|z'|^\alpha} \frac{1}{|z'|^\alpha} \left(1 - e^{-|z'|^\alpha} \right) dz;$$

$\frac{1}{|z'|^\alpha}\left(1-e^{-|z'|^\alpha}\right)$ is bounded and $\frac{e^{-|z'|^\alpha}}{|z'|^\alpha}$ is integrable hence there exists a constant c_2

$$A'_T \leq c_2.$$

We obtain the limit of $B_1(T)$ using l'Hopital's rule ($F_T^2 = T\log T$)

$$\lim_T B_1(T) = c_1 \lim_T \frac{A'_T}{(F_T^2)'} \leq \lim_T \frac{c_3}{\log T + 1} = 0.$$

This completes the proof of part 1). To show part 2) we, similarly as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, follow the scheme from Section 3.1.3. In the same way we evaluate the terms arising from (3.37) and (3.38). Although the techniques to estimate them are similar to the ones presented in [7] we deal with more terms. We need the following estimates

$$\frac{1}{\log T}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{f(z)}{|z|^{2\alpha}}\left[1-e^{-T|z|^\alpha}\right]dz \leq c(f), \tag{3.53}$$

for f bounded and integrable,

$$\frac{1}{\log T}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\widehat{\varphi}(z-z_1)}{|z-z_1|^\alpha}\left[1-e^{-T|z-z_1|^\alpha}\right]\frac{1}{|z_1|^\alpha}\left[1-e^{-T|z_1|^\alpha}\right]dz_1 \leq c(\varphi), \tag{3.54}$$

for φ rapidly decreasing,

$$\frac{1}{\log T}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\widehat{\varphi}(z-z_1)}{|z-z_1|^\alpha}\left[1-e^{-T|z-z_1|^\alpha}\right]\frac{\widehat{\varphi}(z_1)}{|z_1|^\alpha}\left[1-e^{-T|z_1|^\alpha}\right]dz_1 \leq f(z), \tag{3.55}$$

where f is integrable and bounded.
Inequalities (3.53) and (3.54) follows easily from l'Hopital's rule. To show (3.55) it suffices to observe that boundedness is a direct consequence of (3.54). The fact that $f \in \mathcal{L}^1$ follows from Young's inequality applied to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\widehat{\varphi}(z-z_1)}{|z-z_1|^\alpha}\frac{\widehat{\varphi}(z_1)}{|z_1|^\alpha}dz_1.$$

Finally, to illustrate problems arising in the critical dimension case, we show one example. Let us take the fourth term in $B^{IV}(0)$ (see 3.39)

$$\int_0^{+\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} V'''(0)(x,l)V'(0)(x,l)dxdl.$$

One of terms resulting from its evaluation is

$$R = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_0^{+\infty} \mathcal{T}_l\left[\int_0^T \mathcal{T}_{T-s_1}\left[v'(0)(x,s_1)\int_0^{s_1}\mathcal{T}_{s_1-s_2}\left[v'(0)(x,s_2)v'(x,s_2)\right](x)ds_2\right](x)ds_1\right](x)$$
$$\mathcal{T}_l\left[v'(0)(x,T)\right](x)dldx.$$

We substitute $v'(0)$ and change the order of integration

$$R = \int_0^{+\infty}\int_0^T\int_0^{s_1}\int_0^{s_1}\int_0^{s_2}\int_0^{s_2}\int_0^T \chi_T(T-s_5)\chi_T(T-s_3)\chi_T(T-s_4)\chi_T(T-s_6) S ds_6 ds_4 ds_3 ds_2 ds_5 ds_1 dl,$$

where

$$S = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{T}_l \left[\mathcal{T}_{T-s_1}\left[\mathcal{T}_{s_1-s_5}\left[\varphi_T(\cdot)\right]\mathcal{T}_{s_1-s_2}\left[\mathcal{T}_{s_2-s_3}\left[\varphi_T(\cdot)\right]\mathcal{T}_{s_2-s_4}\left[\varphi_T(\cdot)\right]\right]\right]\right]\mathcal{T}_l\left[\mathcal{T}_{T-s_6}\left[\varphi_T(\cdot)\right]\right] dx.$$

By applying the Fourier transform we obtain

$$S = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3d}} e^{-l|z|^\alpha} e^{-(T-s_1)|z|^\alpha} e^{-(s_1-s_5)|z_1|^\alpha} e^{-(s_1-s_2)|z-z_1|^\alpha} e^{-(s_2-s_3)|z_2|^\alpha} e^{-(s_2-s_4)|z-z_1-z_2|^\alpha}$$
$$\times e^{-l|z|^\alpha} e^{-(T-s_6)|z|^\alpha} \widehat{\varphi}(z_1)\widehat{\varphi}(z_2)\widehat{\varphi}(z-z_1-z_2)\widehat{\varphi}(z) dz_2 dz_1 dz.$$

Once again we change the order of integration

$$R = T^{-2}\log T^{-2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3d}} \widehat{\varphi}(z_1)\widehat{\varphi}(z_2)\widehat{\varphi}(z-z_1-z_2)\widehat{\varphi}(z) Q dz_2 dz_1 dz, \tag{3.56}$$

where

$$Q = \int_0^{+\infty}\int_0^T\int_0^{s_1}\int_0^{s_1}\int_0^{s_2}\int_0^{s_2}\int_0^T e^{-2l|z|^\alpha} e^{-(T-s_1)|z|^\alpha} e^{-(s_1-s_5)|z_1|^\alpha} e^{-(s_1-s_2)|z-z_1|^\alpha} e^{-(s_2-s_3)|z_2|^\alpha}$$
$$\times e^{-(s_2-s_4)|z-z_1-z_2|^\alpha} e^{-(T-s_6)|z|^\alpha} \chi_T(T-s_5)\chi_T(T-s_3)\chi_T(T-s_4)\chi_T(T-s_6) ds_6 ds_4 ds_3 ds_2 ds_5 ds_1 dl.$$

By applying inequality (3.47) to the integral with respect s_6 we get

$$Q \leq c_1 T(t-s)\int_0^{+\infty}\int_0^T\int_0^{s_1}\int_0^{s_1}\int_0^{s_2}\int_0^{s_2} e^{-2l|z|^\alpha} e^{-(T-s_1)|z|^\alpha} e^{-(s_1-s_5)|z_1|^\alpha} e^{-(s_1-s_2)|z-z_1|^\alpha}$$
$$\times e^{-(s_2-s_3)|z_2|^\alpha} e^{-(s_2-s_4)|z-z_1-z_2|^\alpha} \chi_T(T-s_5)\chi_T(T-s_3)\chi_T(T-s_4) ds_4 ds_3 ds_2 ds_5 ds_1 dl.$$

Next we utilize (3.49) to eliminate the integral with respect to s_5

$$Q \leq c_2 T(t-s)\frac{1}{|z_1|^\alpha}\left[1 - e^{-T|z_1|^\alpha}\right]\int_0^{+\infty}\int_0^T\int_0^{s_1}\int_0^{s_2}\int_0^{s_2} e^{-2l|z|^\alpha} e^{-(T-s_1)|z|^\alpha} e^{-(s_1-s_2)|z-z_1|^\alpha}$$
$$\times e^{-(s_2-s_3)|z_2|^\alpha} e^{-(s_2-s_4)|z-z_1-z_2|^\alpha} \chi_T(T-s_3)\chi_T(T-s_4) ds_4 ds_3 ds_2 ds_1 dl.$$

Once again we use (3.47) this time to the integral with respect to s_4

$$Q \leq c_3 (t-s)^2 T^2 \frac{1}{|z_1|^\alpha}\left[1 - e^{-T|z_1|^\alpha}\right]\int_0^{+\infty}\int_0^T\int_0^{s_1}\int_0^{s_2} e^{-2l|z|^\alpha} e^{-(T-s_1)|z|^\alpha} e^{-(s_1-s_2)|z-z_1|^\alpha}$$
$$\times e^{-(s_2-s_3)|z_2|^\alpha} \chi_T(T-s_3) ds_3 ds_2 ds_1 dl.$$

Finally we apply (3.49) to the integrals with respect to s_3, s_2, s_1 consequently and integrate with respect to l

$$Q \leq c_4 (t-s)^2 T^2 \frac{1}{|z_1|^\alpha}\left[1 - e^{-T|z_1|^\alpha}\right]\frac{1}{|z_2|^\alpha}\frac{1}{|z-z_1|^\alpha}\left[1 - e^{-T|z-z_1|^\alpha}\right]\frac{1}{|z|^{2\alpha}}\left[1 - e^{-T|z|^\alpha}.\right]$$

We return to (3.56)

$$R \leq c_5(t-s)^2 \log T^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3d}} \widehat{\varphi}(z_1)\widehat{\varphi}(z_2)\widehat{\varphi}(z-z_1-z_2)\widehat{\varphi}(z)\frac{1}{|z_1|^\alpha}\left[1-e^{-T|z_1|^\alpha}\right]$$
$$\times \frac{1}{|z_2|^\alpha}\frac{1}{|z-z_1|^\alpha}\left[1-e^{-T|z-z_1|^\alpha}\right]\frac{1}{|z|^{2\alpha}}\left[1-e^{-T|z|^\alpha}\right] dz_2 dz_1 dz.$$

The integral with respect to z_2 is bounded

$$R \leq c_6(t-s)^2 \log T^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{\widehat{\varphi}(z_1)}{|z_1|^\alpha}\left[1-e^{-T|z_1|^\alpha}\right]\frac{1}{|z-z_1|^\alpha}\left[1-e^{-T|z-z_1|^\alpha}\right]\frac{\widehat{\varphi}(z)}{|z|^{2\alpha}}\left[1-e^{-T|z|^\alpha}\right] dz_1 dz.$$

Using inequality (3.54) we obtain

$$R \leq c_7(t-s)^2 \log T^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\widehat{\varphi}(z)}{|z|^{2\alpha}}\left[1-e^{-T|z|^\alpha}\right] dz.$$

We complete the proof by applying (3.53) and arriving at

$$R \leq c_8(t-s)^2.$$

References

[1] P. Billingsley, Convergence of Probability Measures., John Wiley&Sons, New York, 1968.

[2] M. Birkner and I. Zähle, Functional central limit theorems for the occupation time of the origin for branching random walks in $d \geq 3$, Weierstraß Insitut für Angewandte Analysis und Stochastik, Berlin, preprint No. 1011 (2005).

[3] M. Birkner and I. Zähle, A functional CLT for the occupation time of state-dependent branching random walk, to appear in Ann. Probab.

[4] T. Bojdecki, L.G. Gorostiza and S. Ramaswamy, Convergence of $\mathcal{S}'$-valued processes and space time random fields, J. Funct. Anal. 66 (1986), pp. 21-41.

[5] T. Bojdecki, L.G. Gorostiza and A. Talarczyk, Sub-fractional Brownian motion and its relation to occupation times, Statist. Probab. Lett. 69 (2004), pp. 405-419.

[6] T. Bojdecki, L.G. Gorostiza and A. Talarczyk, Limit theorems for occupation time fluctuations of branching systems I: Long-range dependence, Stoch. Proc. Appl. 116 (2006), pp. 1-18.

[7] T. Bojdecki, L.G. Gorostiza and A. Talarczyk, Limit theorems for occupation time fluctuations of branching systems II: Critical and large dimensions Functional, Stoch. Proc. Appl. 116 (2006), pp. 19-35.

[8] T. Bojdecki, L.G. Gorostiza and A. Talarczyk, A long range dependence stable process and an infinite variance branching system, www.arxiv.org, math.PR/0511739 (2005).

[9] T. Bojdecki, L.G. Gorostiza and A. Talarczyk, Occupation time fluctuations of an infinite variance branching systems in large dimensions, www.arxiv.org, math.PR/0511745 (2005).

[10] J.D. Deuschel, K. Wang, Large deviations for the occupation time functional of a Poisson system of independent Brownian particles, Stoch. Proc. Appl. 52 (1994) 183-209.

[11] L.G. Gorostiza and A. Wakolbinger, Persistence criteria for a class of critical branching particle systems in continuous time. Ann. Probab. 19 (1991), pp. 266-288.

[12] P. MiÅĆoÅŻ, Occupation time fluctuations of Poisson and equilibrium finite variance branching systems, to appear in Probab. and Math. Stat.

[13] I. Mitoma, Tightness of probabilities on $C\left([0,1],\mathcal{S}'\right)$ and $D\left([0,1],\mathcal{S}'\right)$, Ann. Probab. 11 (1983), pp. 989-999.